

Program A: Federal Programs

OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Unless otherwise indicated, all objectives are to be accomplished during or by the end of FY 2002-2003. Performance indicators are made up of two parts: name and value. The indicator name describes what is being measured. The indicator value is the numeric value or level achieved within a given measurement period. For budgeting purposes, performance indicators are shown for the prior fiscal year, the current fiscal year, and alternative funding scenarios (continuation budget level and Executive Budget recommendation level) for the ensuing fiscal year of the budget document.

The objectives and performance indicators that appear below are associated with program funding in the Base Executive Budget for FY 2002-2003.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

1. (KEY) To award and administer federal formula grant funds under the Edward Byrne Memorial Program, the Violence against Women (VAW) Program, the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) Program, the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Program, the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, and the Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant (JAIBG) Program, all in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.

Strategic Link: This objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.1 - 1.6: *To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: These indicators measure the amount of funds that LCLE intends to award through subgrants during the state fiscal year. However, these subgrants could be coming from several different federal fiscal years of grants. Each program has a specific minimum mandatory passthrough percentage, and LCLE has consistently exceeded those minimums substantially.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to local criminal justice agencies under the Edward Byrne Memorial Program ¹	51.92%	83.34% ²	75.00%	75.00%	76.00%	80.00%
K	Number of Byrne grants awarded	150	134 ³	145	145	145	145
S	Dollar amount of Byrne grants awarded	\$7,000,000	\$6,152,680 ³	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to criminal justice or nonprofit agencies for VAW programs	75.00%	94.10% ⁴	80.00%	80.00%	90.00%	90.00%
K	Number of VAW grants awarded	60	90 ³	60	60	75	75
S	Dollar amount of VAW grants awarded	\$1,500,000	\$2,599,697 ³	\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,850,000	\$1,850,000
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to each of the four CVA priority areas for underserved victims	40.00%	95.73% ⁵	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%
K	Number of CVA grants awarded	100	100 ³	100	100	100	100
S	Dollar amount of CVA grants awarded	\$4,000,000	\$4,215,092 ³	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,100,000	\$4,100,000
K	Minimum percentage of funds passed through to local agencies under JJDP Program	66.67%	88.88% ⁶	83.00%	83.00%	80.00%	85.00%
K	Number of JJDP grants awarded	70	78 ³	70	70	80	80
S	Dollar amount of JJDP grants awarded	\$1,100,000	\$1,686,854 ³	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,500,000	1,500,000

01-129A

K	Number of LLEBG Program grants awarded	50	125 ³	80	80	100	100
S	Dollar amount of LLEBG Program grants awarded	\$275,000	\$468,470 ³	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$350,000	\$350,000
K	Minimum percentage of JAIBG Program funds passed through to local government	75.00%	76.59% ⁷	75.00%	75.00%	80.00%	80.00%
K	Number of JAIBG Program grants awarded	60	60 ³	60	60	55	55
S	Dollar amount of JAIBG Program grants awarded	\$3,500,000	\$4,498,011 ³	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000	\$3,900,000	\$3,900,000

¹ Grants for the Edward Byrne Memorial Program are for anti-drug, violent crime, and criminal justice system improvement programs.

² Figures reflect most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2000-2001, this would be for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 1997. Federal funds have a three-year life, but are normally extended to four years. Estimated figures for FY 2001-2002 and FY 2002-2003 reflect more than the federal requirement that a minimum of 51.92% of funds be passed through to local criminal justice system agencies. However, as seen in the FY 2000-2001 actual value, much more is actually passed through to local agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs, state agencies, and a small percentage for administration.

³ Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

⁴ Beginning FFY 2001 the federal pass-through requirement increased to 85% for the VAW program is broken down as follows: 25% to law enforcement, 25% to prosecution, and 30% to victim services and 5% to courts. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 2000-2001, this would be federal fiscal year 1996. Estimated figures for FY 2002-2003 reflect the federal requirement that a minimum of 85% be passed through to local law enforcement, prosecution, victim services and courts. However, as seen in the FY 2000-2001 actual value, much more is actually passed through to these agencies, with the remainder used for state-level/statewide programs and a small percentage for administration.

⁵ Grants for the Crime Victim Assistance (CVA) are awarded in the four priority areas: domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, and previously underserved by demographic characteristic and type of crime not in other categories. A 40% minimum pass through is required (10% in each area). These percentages are usually exceeded. Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For FY 2000-2001, this would be FFY 1997. Federal funds have a life of several years.

⁶ Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2000-2001, this would be FFY 1997. The remainder of the funds goes to the following: (1) administrative funds, (2) required amount of defined by act to support federally required advisory board and (3) statewide or state agency programs.

⁷ Figures reflect the most recently closed federal fiscal year. For the state's FY 2000-2001, this would be FFY 1998.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department
 AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement
 PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

GENERAL PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: SELECTED GRANT ACTIVITIES FOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS					
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES				
	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1996-97	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1997-98	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1998-99	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 1999-00	PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL FY 2000-01
Edward Byrne Memorial Block Grant Program: ^{1,2}					
Number of Task Forces funded	44	41	35	35	49
Number of drug arrests made by task forces	8,048	7,942	8,094	6,859	9,198
Number of street sales disruption grants funded	20	27	31	31	40
Number of drug arrests made by street sales projects	1,073	3,532	2,261	1,753	1,936
Violence Against Women Grant Program:					
Number of women served by grants	3,920 ⁵	15,648	25,779 ²	35,855 ²	27,472 ²
Number of professionals trained through annual "Violence Against Women" Conference	220	301	325	335	366
Crime Victims Assistance Grant Program:					
Number of victims served by grants	34,000	29,942	43,065 ³	52,710 ²	37,120 ²
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Program: ²					
Number of juveniles served by grant	2,800	7,500	7,001	8,597	10,686
Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grant Program ²					
Number of juveniles served by grant ⁵	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	17,477	38,594
Federal Formula Discretionary Grant Funds: ^{3,4}					
Number of discretionary grants received	2	3	4	3 ⁴	3
Dollar amount of discretionary grants received	\$381,917	\$699,023	\$1,372,293	\$1,072,669	\$1,563,409

¹ The data shows results from two types of grant projects: multijurisdictional task forces and street sales disruption efforts. Other projects funded include training, community policing, apprehension efforts, court delay reduction, major drug offender prosecution, intensive supervision, intensive incarceration, drug treatment, witness assistance, forensic lab enhancement, etc.

² This indicator is for State Fiscal Year (July 1 - June 30).

³ This indicator is for Federal Fiscal Year (October 1 - September 30).

- ⁴ The LCLE applies for discretionary funding to meet state criminal justice system priorities that are not otherwise funded or only partially funded. Discretionary grants from the U.S. Department of Justice are typically competitive in nature and are designed to support developmental initiatives that the U.S. Congress targeted. This means that fundable areas and the amount of funding available for any given area will change from year to year, based on appropriations by Congress and modifications to guidance provided by the U.S. Department of Justice pursuant to specific authorizing legislation for the various discretionary grant programs. Please note that two grant programs were combined into one during this reporting period, thus the number of grants reduced from 4 to 3.
- ⁵ Awards were not made under VAWA until late FY 1996-1997. During the startup phase beginning in FY 1996-1997 the focus of the program was on training. Awards were not made under the JAIBG until the end of FY 1998-1999.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department
 AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement
 PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

2. (KEY) To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by ensuring that at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.

Strategic Link: This operational objective relates to Strategic Objective 1.1 -1.7: *To pass through the above grant programs in accordance with their minimum pass-through requirements.* It is also related to Strategic Objective 1.8: *To balance the use of Residential Substance Abuse (RSAT) funds between state and local correctional institutions by insuring at least one program funded in any federal fiscal year is local institution-based and one is state institution-based.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: RSAT subgrant funds are used for state inmates with a history of substance abuse involvement. This treatment occurs just prior to release. The cost per inmate is lower in local facilities due to the reduced need for new equipment and supplies to in the continuation program. The cost per inmate in state facilities is higher than the cost per inmate in local facilities because state facilities provide services that locals do not, and because more support staff are required to sustain the enhanced RSAT programs in operation in state facilities. The cost per inmate is calculated by dividing the amount of the program's budget by the number of RSAT inmates participating in the program.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Minimum percentage of RSAT funds passed through for the treatment of state adult and juvenile inmates ¹	75.00%	94.72%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%
K	Number of RSAT grants awarded. ²	2	2	2	2	2	2
S	Dollar amount of RSAT grants awarded ²	\$1,422,225	\$1,422,225	\$1,482,563	\$1,482,563	\$1,494,777	\$1,494,777
S	Amount of funding received for RSAT subgrants for direct treatment programs	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,432,316	\$1,494,777	\$1,494,777
K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs established by RSAT in local facilities	1	1	1	1	1	1
S	Cost per inmate in local facilities	\$6,384	\$5,189	\$5,842	\$5,842	\$5,842	\$5,842
K	Number of residential substance abuse treatment programs established by RSAT in state facilities	4	4	5	5	5	5
S	Cost per inmate in state facilities	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790	\$7,790

¹ The remainder of the funds is used for a planning grant by LCLE.

² Figures reflect activity during the state fiscal year.

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department

AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement

PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

3. (KEY) To increase the percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies participating and/or having access to one or more of the major components of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) to 95%.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.2: *To implement the fully operational ICJIS, insuring full conectivity of all components by June 30, 2007.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective relates to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.*

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: The seventh and last major component of the Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (ICJIS) will be operational in FY 2000-2001.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies participating in ICJIS	65.00%	68.00%	65.00%	65.00%	95.00%	95.00%
S	Percentage of eligible criminal justice agencies with access to one or more ICJIS components	75.00%	91.20%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%

DEPARTMENT ID: Executive Department
 AGENCY ID: 01-129 Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement
 PROGRAM ID: Program A: Federal Programs

4. (KEY) To maintain the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies that have completed Louisiana Incident-Based Crime Reporting System (LIBRS) certification at 19.

Strategic Link: This operational objective partially accomplishes the program's Strategic Objective II.4: *To increase the number of eligible local law enforcement agencies which have complete Louisiana Incident Based Crime Reporting (LIBRS) certification to 100 by June 30, 2007.*

Louisiana: Vision 2020 Link: This operational objective is related to Vision 2020 Objective 3.3: *To have safe homes, schools, and streets throughout the state.* It is also related to Benchmark 3.3.1, Index Crime Rates. Achievement of this operational objective will enable more accurate reporting of index crime information.

Children's Cabinet Link: Not Applicable

Other Link(s): Not Applicable

Explanatory Note: Louisiana Law Enforcement Management Information Network (La-LEMIS) is a law enforcement management information system developed for use by local law enforcement agencies. Its primary function is to automate law enforcement records at the local agency level and produce reports which benefits identification, investigation of crime, management at the operational and strategic levels, and to provide a means for the local agency to participate in the major state systems. LIBRS is a state level system which gathers information on crime incidents and arrests, as well as a large amount of information related to these crimes (i.e. victim-offender relationship, drug or firearm involvement, bins or gambling motivation, property stolen or recovered, circumstances of the offense, etc). La-LEMIS enables local agencies to make timely and accurate reports to LIBRS, while, at the same time, improving records and information management at the local level. Eligible agencies are those which investigate crimes and make arrests for reportable offenses which are not covered in another agency's report.

This is an issue that affects small agencies. In many jurisdictions, a small agency may receive the initial complaint, and then refer it to the sheriff's office for investigation and arrest. The LIBRS system allows for this possibility by permitting the smaller agency to report through the larger agency.

Indicators related to Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) and LIBRS reporting reflect the overall level of crime reporting in the state, since both major types of reporting are included. These indicators have been used during the period in which the state system is in transition from summary UCR to LIBRS. Currently, LIBRS data is converted to the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), the national level crime reporting system on which LIBRS is based. NIBRS is converted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to UCR format, and added to regular UCR numbers. LIBRS is currently in transition between Versions 1.1 and 2.0. Such a transition occurs as law changes and new requirements are added by the U.S. Congress, the Louisiana Legislature, or the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

L E V E L	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR NAME	PERFORMANCE INDICATOR VALUES					
		YEAREND PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2000-2001	ACTUAL YEAREND PERFORMANCE FY 2000-2001	ACT 12 PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	EXISTING PERFORMANCE STANDARD FY 2001-2002	AT CONTINUATION BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003	AT RECOMMENDED BUDGET LEVEL FY 2002-2003
K	Number of agencies reporting crime data ¹	200	168	200	200	200	200
K	Number of agencies completing LIBRS certification	17	0 ²	19	19	19	19
S	Number of agencies participating in LIBRS certification	30	18	15	15	15	15
S	Number of agencies using La-LEMIS software	80	80	85	85	88	88
S	Percentage of the state population covered by LIBRS reporting ³	22.00%	31.80%	25.00%	25.00%	26.00%	26.00%

01-129A

S	Percentage of eligible law enforcement agencies reporting to the UCR ¹	49.00%	43.90%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
S	Percentage of population covered by agencies reporting under UCR ¹	93.00%	67.60%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%

¹ Data obtained from these sources provides the index crime rate reported in *Louisiana: Vision 2020* action plans.

² LIBRS transferred data to a new computer system which required additional testing and verification. Through this process additional LIBRS programming modifications were found and changes are being made. Also hindering the program, was the delay in the dissemination of LEMIS 2000.

³ There are approximately 380 law enforcement agencies in Louisiana. Two hundred of those agencies provide statistics for 93% of Louisiana's population. Many of the remaining agencies are very small departments which, under most circumstances, have their serious cases handled by the larger local agencies. In order to provide a gauge of the use of LIBRS data, percentage of population covered is a more accurate account than number of agencies reporting.